



## Intercomparison of Absorption Photometers

Project No.: AP-2016-3-2

### Basic Information:

**Location of the quality assurance:** TROPOS, lab 121

**Date:** 13 September, 2017

Principal Investigator	Home Institution	Participant	Instrument
A. Wiedensohler	TROPOS	-	MAAP, SN 114

### 1. Instrument inter-comparison summary

**Flow calibration:** The flow of the instrument agreed to the flow measured with a reference flow meter (Gilibibrator 'TROPOS-T'). The instrument flow was 3% too high resulting in higher eBC concentrations. Correction of the flow error was included in the data evaluation.

**Noise.** The noise level of the instrument was little higher than expected from the MAAP specification sheet. The average noise ( $1\sigma$ ) was  $78 \text{ ng/m}^3$  for 1 minute averaging time.

**Comparison to reference MAAP:** BC concentrations are about 4.4% higher than BC concentrations from the 'reference instrument' MAAP SN504.

**Cell Inspection:** Cell was dirty a part of the filter was obscured by some deposit.

**Recommendations:** None

**Overall assessment:** The instrument meets the requirements.

## 2. Details

Configuration parameters (Print format 8)	
SIGMA BC:	6.6 m <sup>2</sup> /g
LUFTDURCHSATZ l/h	1000
MITTELWERTSPEICHER:	30 min
KONZ. BEZOGEN AUF BETRIEBSBEDINGUNGEN	(changed to STP with 0°C and 1013.25 hPa)
NORMTEMPERATUR	0 _C
DRUCKFORMAT:	COM1 12
DRUCKZYCLUS:	1 min
BAUDRATE:	Bd COM1 9600
BAUDRATE:	Bd COM2 9600
GERAETE-ADRESSE:	0
FILTERWECHSEL	
TRANSM. <	% 20
ZYCLUS	h 100
UHRZEIT	UHR 0
SENSORKALIBRIERUNG	
P1,V P1,NP P2,V P2,NP P3,NP T1,NP T2,NP T3,NP	
-10 4 -62 89 -50 104 -233	
LUFTDURCHSATZ	93.8
HEIZUNGSPARAMETER	
Sollwert T2 UEBER T1	0 _C
Max. Heiztemperatur	45 _C
Min. Heizleistung	10 %
ANALOGAUSGAENGE	
AUSGABENULLPUNKT:	4mA
CBC	0 10
MBC	0 2400

### Data Processing

Equivalent black carbon concentrations reported by instruments were corrected for flow deviations and adjusted to standard temperature and pressure conditions (T=0°C, P=1013.25 hPa) by

$$[BC] = [BC_{instr}] \times F_{flow} \times F_{STP}$$

For details read Appendix A.

Conversion between the eBC concentrations and the absorption coefficient is done by

$$b_{abs}[1/Mm] = eBc[\mu g/cm] \times Sigma \times 1.05 ,$$

with the *mass absorption cross section* MAC=6.6 m<sup>2</sup>/g. During the RAOS (Sheridan et al. 2005) experiment the MAAP was compared to a reference absorption at the wavelength 670 nm, but the true wavelength of MAAP is 637 nm. The factor compensates the resulting error in the absorption (Mueller et al. 2010).

### Flow check

Correction factors  $F_{flow}$  and  $F_{STP}$  for correcting eBC concentrations.  $F_{flow}$  corrects inlet flow errors.  $F_{STP}$  adjusting concentrations to STP conditions ( $0^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 1013.25 hPa).

Date	System Flow		Reference flow		Flow correction factor <sup>Fehler!</sup> Textmarke nicht definiert.	STP correction factor <sup>Fehler!</sup> <b>Textmark e nicht definiert.</b>		
			Reference flow meter: Glibrator 'TROPOS-T'					
	Volumetric flow <sup>1</sup>	Volume reference	Volume flow	Ambient $T$ and $P$				
	$Q_{MAAP}$ [lpm]	$T_{0,MAAP}$ [°C]	$P_{0,MAAP}$ [hPa]	$Q$ [lpm]	$T$ [°C]	$P$ [hPa]	$F_{flow}$	$F_{STP}$
Dec.2	16.66	0	1013	17.21	21	1014	0.97	1.0
Dec.6	16.66	0	1013	17.19	22	1015	0.97	1.0

### Measurement cell and filter spot area

Date	Spot appearance	Spot size correction factor
Dec. 6	Deposit in filter sampler obscuring part of the filter.  	The effective sampling area has changed because a part of the filter was obscured by some deposit. Furthermore, it is not known what part of the filter is in the field of view of the detectors. Therefore, it is not possible to estimate a spot size correction factor.

<sup>1</sup> For instrument intercomparison the MAAP was set to Standard flow with  $T_0=0$  and  $P_0=1013.25$  hPa.

### Instrumental Noise

Noise in units of eBC concentration measured with filtered air.

Date	Avg. time	Wave-length [nm]	Num data points	Median [ng]	10 <sup>th</sup> percentile [ng]	90 <sup>th</sup> percentile [ng]	Mean [ng]	Standard deviation [ng]	Error of the mean [ng]
Dec. 6	1 min	637	80	45	-63	126	37	78	8.7

### Comparison to reference MAAP

Correlation of eBC from MAAP (SN 13) and reference MAAP (SN 504) at 637 nm.

Slope	1.044 ± 0.002
R <sup>2</sup>	0.964




Figure 1: Comparison of eBC concentrations from MAAP SN-114 (red stars) and the reference MAAP SN-504 (blue line).

## Appendix: Instrument corrections

Necessary corrections to all instruments are flow and spot size correction and conversion of concentrations and absorption coefficients to STP conditions. BC concentrations from individual instruments [ $BC_{instr}$ ] were by corrected by:

$$[BC] = [BC_{instr}] \times F_{flow} \times F_{spot} \times F_{STP}$$

- a) The Flow correction factor for compensating calibration errors of the instrument flow meter and is defined by:

$$F_{flow} = \frac{Q_{instr} [slpm]}{Q_{ref} [lpm]} \times \frac{T_{ref} [K]}{T_{0,instr} [K]} \times \frac{P_{0,instr} [hPa]}{P_{ref} [hPa]}$$

where  $Q_{instr}$  and  $Q_{ref}$  are the flows measured with the instrument and determined with a reference volume flow meter, respectively. The flow of the volume flow meter is converted using the temperature  $T_{ref}$  and pressure  $P_{ref}$ , which are typically the ambient or room temperature or pressure near the reference flow meter. Also the standard temperature  $T_{0,instr}$  and standard pressure  $P_{0,instr}$  of the instrument have to be considered.

- b) The adjustment of instrument flow to standard temperature and pressure (STP) is done by

$$F_{STP} = \frac{T_{0,instr.} + 273}{T_0 + 273} \times \frac{P_0}{P_{0,instr.}}$$

- c) whereas  $T_{0,instr}$  and  $P_{0,instr}$  are the standard temperature and pressure of individual instrument. For ACTRIS workshops STP is defined to be  $T_0=0^\circ\text{C}$  and  $P_0=1013.25 \text{ hPa}$ .
- d) The spot size correction factor  $F_{spot}$  compensates for systematic deviations of sample spot sizes and is defined by

$$F_{spot} = \frac{A_{meas}}{A_{instr}}$$

where  $A_{instr}$  and  $A_{meas}$  are the instrument nominal and the measured spot area, respectively.

## References

Sheridan, P. J., et al. (2005). "The Reno Aerosol Optics Study: An evaluation of aerosol absorption measurement methods." *Aerosol Science and Technology* **39**(1): 1-16.

Müller, T., et al. (2011). "Characterization and intercomparison of aerosol absorption photometers: result of two intercomparison workshops." *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques* **4**(2): 245-268.